## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CASE NO. 1:09CR291
	)	
	)	JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS
Plaintiff,	)	Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
PAUL R. TOMKO,	)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
	)	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Defendant.	)	

Pursuant to General Order 99-49, this case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert for the purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, Defendant's offer of a plea of guilty, conducting the colloquy prescribed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, causing a verbatim record of the proceedings to be prepared, referring the matter for presentence investigation, and submitting a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation stating whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty entered. The following, along with the transcript or other record of the proceedings submitted herewith, constitutes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation concerning the plea of guilty proffered by Defendant.

1. On July 27, 2009, Defendant Paul Tomko, accompanied by Attorney Niki Schwartz, executed a consent to referral of his case to a United States Magistrate Judge for the purpose of receiving his guilty plea.

- 2. Defendant Tomko executed a waiver of indictment and consented to prosecution by information, which the undersigned approved.
- 3. Defendant Tomko then proffered a plea of guilty to the sole count in the Information filed on June 23, 2009.
- 4. Prior to such proffer, Defendant Tomko was examined as to his competency, advised of the charges and consequences of conviction, informed that the Court is not bound to apply the Federal Sentencing Guidelines but must consult the guidelines and take them into consideration when it imposes the sentence and of the possibility of a departure from the Guidelines, notified of his rights, advised that he was waiving all of his rights except the right to counsel, and, if such were the case, his right to appeal, and otherwise provided with the information prescribed in Fed. Crim. R. 11.
- 5. Counsel informed the Court that there was a written, signed plea agreement between the parties. The undersigned was also advised that no commitments or promises have been made by any party, and no other agreements, written or unwritten, have been made between the parties.
- 6. The undersigned questioned Defendant Tomko under oath about the knowing, intelligent and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and the undersigned believes that Defendant Tomko's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
- 7. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged offenses and Defendant Tomko's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing, and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned concludes that Defendant Tomko's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary and all requirements imposed by the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied.

Case: 1:09-cr-00291-CAB Doc #: 8 Filed: 07/27/09 3 of 3. PageID #: 22

Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty be accepted and a finding of guilty be entered by the Court.

Date: July 27, 2009 /s/George J. Limbert

George J. Limbert United States Magistrate Judge

ANY OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days of service of this notice. Failure to file objections within the specified time WAIVES the right to appeal the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).